

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/466,046	12/17/1999	тоѕнічикі онкиво	1232-4605	9718		
27123	7590 12/17/2004		EXAMINER			
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			WHIPKEY	WHIPKEY, JASON T		
	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2612			

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/466,0	46	OHKUBO, TOSHIYUKI				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Jason T. V		2612	<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 G SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ev ion. s, a reply within the stat period will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (3 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS dication to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered time 6 from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 August 2004</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all	llowance except	for formal matters	s, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 41-48 is/are pending in the appli	ication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-48</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
- 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. No	ote the attached O	ffice Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
The state of the s								
					•			
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumr					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S			ail Date nal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	· - · - · - ·	6) Other:		,			

DETAILED ACTION

New Examiner of Record

1. The examiner of record for this application has been changed to Jason Whipkey. Any inquiry regarding this application should be directed to the new examiner. Current contact information is provided in the last section of this communication.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 25, 2004, has been entered.

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment filed August 25, 2004, cancelled all pending claims and added eight new claims. A rejection of these new claims follows.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/466,046

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe (U.S. Patent No. 5,568,194).

Regarding claim 41, Abe discloses an image capture apparatus, including:

an image capture unit (CCD 13 in Figure 1) adapted to capture an image using an image pickup element (photodiodes on CCD 13; see column 2, lines 54-55);

a switch (not shown; see column 4, line 61) adapted to instruct the image capture apparatus to start to capture the image to be recorded on a recording unit (recording medium M; see column 4, lines 63-67); and

a control unit (comprised of control circuit 15 and white balance . adjustment circuit 26) adapted to control the recording process of recording an image captured after the switch is operated (see column 4, lines 60-67),

wherein the control unit controls the recording process using a first value indicating an exposure of an image captured (a photometry value is calculated using data from CCD 13; see column 2, line 65, through column 3, line 2) before the switch is operated (this occurs at step 102 in the flowchart in Figure 3,

Art Unit: 2612

wherein the switch is operated at step 103; see column 4, lines 56-60) and a second value indicating an exposure of an image captured (in step 111, a value of a luminance comparing function is calculated using luminance signals produced by CCD 13; see column 5, lines 23-29) after the switch is operated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe in view of Aihara (Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 62-023025).

Claim 42 may be treated like claim 41. However, Abe is silent with regard to notifying a user based on a difference between the first and second values.

Aihara discloses a camera that compares two brightness levels calculated while the camera is in an auto-exposure mode (see abstract). Display driving circuit 35 is used to warn a user when such a difference is greater than a predetermined amount (see abstract).

As stated in the abstract, an advantage to performing such a warning is that an improper exposure may be prevented. For this reason, it would have been obvious to have Abe's camera provide an exposure warning to a user.

Application/Control Number: 09/466,046 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

8. Claims 43, 45, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe in view of Kitajima (U.S. Patent No. 5,808,681).

Claim 43 may be treated like claim 41. Additionally, Abe discloses:

the control unit also controls the recording process using a third value indicating a white balance (white balance information is output from white balance sensor 27; see column 2, lines 54-56) before the switch is operated (this occurs at step 101 in the flowchart in Figure 3, wherein the switch is operated at step 103; see column 4, lines 54-56) and a fourth value indicating a white balance (coefficients Ab and Ar of the white balance are produced in steps 112-117; see column 5, lines 27-36) after the switch is operated.

Abe is silent with regard to using a captured image to produce white balance values; instead, he uses white balance sensor 27.

Kitajima discloses an electronic still camera that performs white balancing. As stated in column 9, lines 4-7, a separate color measuring sensor 9 may be omitted, and the image signal transmitted from imaging CCD 3 may be used to detect color temperature information.

An advantage to using an image sensor to gather data for performing white balancing is that a separate sensor may be omitted, thus simplifying the hardware design and reducing the cost of the structure. For this reason, it would have been obvious for Abe to use signals from the CCD to produce white balance values.

Regarding claim 45, Abe discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/466,046 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

an image capture unit (CCD 13 in Figure 1) adapted to capture an image using an image pickup element (photodiodes on CCD 13; see column 2, lines 54-55);

a switch (not shown; see column 4, line 61) adapted to instruct the image capture apparatus to start to capture the image to be recorded on a recording unit (recording medium M; see column 4, lines 63-67); and

a control unit (comprised of control circuit 15 and white balance adjustment circuit 26) adapted to control the recording process of recording an image captured after the switch is operated (see column 4, lines 60-67),

wherein the control unit controls the recording process using a first value indicating a white balance (white balance information is output from white balance sensor 27; see column 2, lines 54-56) before the switch is operated (this occurs at step 101 in the flowchart in Figure 3, wherein the switch is operated at step 103; see column 4, lines 54-56) and a second value indicating a white balance (coefficients Ab and Ar of the white balance are produced in steps 112-117; see column 5, lines 27-36) after the switch is operated.

Abe is silent with regard to using a captured image to produce white balance values; instead, he uses white balance sensor 27.

Kitajima discloses an electronic still camera that performs white balancing. As stated in column 9, lines 4-7, a separate color measuring sensor 9 may be omitted, and the image signal transmitted from imaging CCD 3 may be used to detect color temperature information.

Application/Control Number: 09/466,046

Art Unit: 2612

An advantage to using an image sensor to gather data for performing white balancing is that a separate sensor may be omitted, thus simplifying the hardware design and reducing the cost of the structure. For this reason, it would have been obvious for Abe to use signals from the CCD to produce white balance values.

Regarding claim 47, Abe discloses:

the control unit also controls the recording process using a third value indicating an exposure of an image captured (a photometry value is calculated using data from CCD 13; see column 2, line 65, through column 3, line 2) before the switch is operated (this occurs at step 102 in the flowchart in Figure 3, wherein the switch is operated at step 103; see column 4, lines 56-60) and a fourth value indicating an exposure of an image captured (in step 111, a value of a luminance comparing function is calculated using luminance signals produced by CCD 13; see column 5, lines 23-29) after the switch is operated.

9. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe in view of Kitajima and further in view of Aihara.

Claim 44 may be treated like claim 43. However, Abe is silent with regard to notifying a user based on a difference between the first and second values.

Aihara discloses a camera that compares two brightness levels calculated while the camera is in an auto-exposure mode (see abstract). Display driving circuit 35 is used to warn a user when such a difference is greater than a predetermined amount (see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/466,046

Art Unit: 2612

As stated in the abstract, an advantage to performing such a warning is that an improper exposure may be prevented. For this reason, it would have been obvious to have Abe's camera provide an exposure warning to a user.

10. Claims 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe in view of Kitajima and further in view of Hieda (U.S. Patent No. 4,811,086).

Claims 46 and 48 may be treated like claims 45 and 47, respectively. However, Abe is silent with regard to notifying a user based on a difference between the first and second values.

Hieda discloses an image sensing apparatus that produces a warning signal in an electronic viewfinder when a calculated difference for a color correction signal for white balancing exceeds a preset amount (see column 11, line 44, through column 12, line 7).

An advantage to performing such a warning is that a user may avoid an improper white balance setting when the camera is incapable of performing the setting on its own. For this reason, it would have been obvious to have Abe's camera provide a white balance warning to a user.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/466,046 Page 9

Art Unit: 2612

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Whipkey, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1819. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. eastern standard time, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 12, 2004

AUNG MOE PRIMARY EXAMINER